# Effects of geometrical fluctuations on the transition temperature of disordered quasi-one-dimensional superconductors

Dror Orgad

Racah Institute of Physics, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem 91904, Israel (Received 2 December 2008; published 13 January 2009)

We study the superconducting transition temperature,  $T_c$ , of an array of fluctuating, maximally gapped interacting ladders, embedded in a disordered plane. Renormalization group analysis indicates that geometrical fluctuations mitigate the suppression of the intraladder pairing correlations by the disorder. In addition, the fluctuations enhance the Josephson tunneling between the ladders. Both effects lead to an increase in  $T_c$  in the Josephson-coupled array. These findings may be relevant to the understanding of the 1/8 anomaly in the lanthanum based high-temperature superconductors.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.79.014509

PACS number(s): 74.81.-g, 74.40.+k, 74.62.Dh

## I. INTRODUCTION

Both perturbative renormalization-group (RG) studies<sup>1</sup> and strong-coupling numerical calculations<sup>2</sup> have demonstrated that doped *t-J* and Hubbard ladders typically exhibit a maximally gapped phase with a single remaining gapless charge mode protected by symmetry.<sup>3</sup> Such a phase possesses substantial superconducting pairing correlations with approximate *d*-wave symmetry. By coupling many ladders together via Josephson tunneling, the phases of the different Cooper pairs may establish coherence, in which case global superconductivity ensues. It has been suggested that the underlying mechanism of superconductivity in the cuprates is essentially the one described here for the quasi-onedimensional system.<sup>4,5</sup>

Previous studies have shown that Josephson-coupled arrays of spin-gapped chains and two-leg ladders are particularly prone to the deleterious effects of disorder on  $T_c$ .<sup>6,7</sup> On the other hand, we have demonstrated that geometrical fluctuations of a spin-gapless one-dimensional system tend to reduce the effects of disorder.<sup>8</sup> It is therefore interesting to try and marry these two observations, and study the interplay between disorder and fluctuations in a quasi-one-dimensional superconductor. Beyond its purely theoretical appeal this question may also be relevant to a distinctive feature in the phenomenology of the lanthanum based cuprates.

Among the high-temperature superconductors, the lanthanum compounds stand out as the ones with the most extensive experimental evidence for the existence of quasi-onedimensional charge and spin stripe orders, both in their "normal" and superconducting states.<sup>9,10</sup> Experiments have also found clear correlation between the presence of robust static stripe order and the suppression of superconductivity in these materials.<sup>10</sup> For example, in  $La_{1.6-x}Nd_{0.4}Sr_xCuO_4$  the ordering temperature,  $T_m$ , of the incommensurate magnetic structure reaches a maximum around x=1/8 while the superconducting temperature,  $T_c$ , exhibits a substantial dip there. This 1/8 anomaly was first identified in La2-xBaxCuO4,11 where it is especially pronounced. In view of the theoretical insights outlined above, it is tempting to associate the suppression of  $T_c$  with enhanced disorder effects due to the slowing down of the stripe fluctuations.

Motivated by such questions we study in this paper a model of a fluctuating disordered array of maximally gapped n-leg ladders. We find that the fluctuations reduce the disorder-induced degradation of the pairing correlations on each one-dimensional system although they typically do not change the critical value of the Luttinger interaction parameter,  $K^*$ , above which infinitesimal disorder is irrelevant. The latter is a decreasing function of the width n. Moreover, the fluctuations enhance the Josephson tunneling between the ladders. Both of these effects lead to a higher  $T_c$  for the array.

#### **II. SINGLE LADDER MODEL**

We begin by considering a single fluctuating *n*-leg ladder. We assume that the fluctuations occur on length scales which are long compared to the electronic wavelength and thus neglect backscattering from them. Specifically, we treat the limit where the ladder oscillates rigidly inside a parabolic potential. Its state is given by the deviation  $u(\tau)$  from the bottom of the well (taken in the following as the *x* axis), and its action in imaginary time is

$$S_u = \int d\tau \left[ \frac{M}{2} (\partial_\tau u)^2 + \frac{M \omega_0^2}{2} u^2 \right], \tag{1}$$

where *M* is the ladder's effective mass. The fluctuations are characterized by the oscillation frequency  $\omega_0$  and by their typical amplitude  $\lambda = 1/\sqrt{M\omega_0}$ .

The noninteracting spectrum of the ladder consists of *n* energy bands. We assume that the filling is such that all of them cross the Fermi level. If this is not the case, then, in the following, *n* should be taken as the number of bands that do cross  $E_F$ . Each of those does so at two Fermi points  $\pm k_F^{(b)}$  with one containing left-moving (r=-1) and the other right-moving (r=1) particles. The annihilation operator of an electron in band *b* with chirality *r* and spin projection  $\sigma = \pm 1$  can be expressed using bosonization language as

$$\psi_{b,r,\sigma}(x) = \frac{F_{b,r,\sigma}}{\sqrt{2\pi a}} e^{irk_F^{(b)}x - (i/\sqrt{2})[\theta_c^{(b)} - r\phi_c^{(b)} + \sigma\theta_s^{(b)} - \sigma r\phi_s^{(b)}](x)}, \quad (2)$$

where the Klein factors  $F_{b,r,\sigma}$  ensure the correct anticommutation between the different particle species and *a* is a shortdistance cutoff of the order of  $k_F^{-1}$ . Physically,  $\phi_c^{(b)}$  and  $\phi_s^{(b)}$ are, respectively, the phases of the  $2k_F^{(b)}$  charge and spindensity wave fluctuations in band *b*, while  $\theta_c^{(b)}$  is the superconducting phase of the band.

When the electronic interactions are turned on, one finds for generic densities away from half filling that the system ends up in a phase where all the spin fields  $\phi_s^{(b)}$  and all the relative charge modes  $\theta_c^{(a)} - \theta_c^{(b)}$  acquire a gap.<sup>1,2</sup> Consequently, the pronounced fluctuations of their conjugated fields  $\theta_s^{(b)}$  and  $\phi_c^{(a)} - \phi_c^{(b)}$ , cause their correlations to decay exponentially to zero. In this so-called C1S0 phase the only gapless excitations are created by the total charge fields

$$\phi_{c+} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{b=1}^{n} \phi_{c}^{(b)}, \quad \theta_{c+} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{b=1}^{n} \theta_{c}^{(b)}.$$
(3)

Note that if the interaction strength is larger than the bandstructure gaps, the number of occupied bands may change relative to the occupancy of the bare spectrum, and *n* should be adjusted correspondingly.

The plane containing the ladder is taken to include a weak random potential which couples to the electrons and through them to *u*. This choice is motivated by the situation in the cuprates where the "ladders" are defined by the sites occupied by holes, and as such interact with the disorder only indirectly via the electronic degrees of freedom. Consequently, the forward,  $V^{\eta}(\mathbf{r})$ , and backward-scattering,  $V^{\xi}(\mathbf{r})$ , disorder components couple as

$$H_{\rm dis} = \int dx \sum_{a,b,j=1}^{n} \left[ h_{a,b}^{\eta}(x,y_j) + h_{a,b}^{\xi}(x,y_j) \right] + \text{H.c.}, \quad (4)$$

where  $y_i = jd + u$ , with *d* as the interleg distance, and

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^{\eta,\xi}_{a,b}(\mathbf{r}) &= \sum_{r,\sigma} e^{ir(k_{F_a} \pm k_{F_b})x} V^{\eta,\xi}_{a,b,r}(\mathbf{r}) \psi^{\dagger}_{a,r,\sigma}(x) \psi_{b,\pm r,\sigma}(x) \\ &\sim \sum_{r,\sigma} \frac{V^{\eta,\xi}_{a,b,r}(\mathbf{r})}{2\pi a} e^{-i\sqrt{\pi/2}\chi_{\eta,\xi}(a,b,r,\sigma)(x)}. \end{aligned}$$
(5)

The exponents in Eq. (5) are given by

ŀ

$$\chi_{\eta,\xi}(a,b,r,\sigma) = r(\phi_c^{(a)} \mp \phi_c^{(b)}) - (\theta_c^{(a)} - \theta_c^{(b)}) + r\sigma(\phi_s^{(a)} \mp \phi_s^{(b)}) - \sigma(\theta_s^{(a)} - \theta_s^{(b)}).$$
(6)

They vanish for the intraband forward-scattering processes and instead these terms take the form

$$h_{a,a}^{\eta}(\mathbf{r}) \sim V_{a,a}^{\eta}(\mathbf{r}) \left( n_a + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \partial_x \phi_c^{(a)} \right), \tag{7}$$

where  $n_a$  is the average electronic density in band *a*. Assuming a short-range Gaussian disorder, one finds that its components obey

$$\begin{split} V^{\eta}_{a,b,r}(x,y_{j}) [V^{\eta}_{a',b',r'}(x,y_{j'})]^{*} \\ &= DB^{j,j'}_{a,b} [\,\delta_{r,r'} \delta_{a,a'} \delta_{b,b'} + \delta_{r,-r'} \delta_{a,b'} \delta_{b,a'}] \\ &\times \delta(x-x') \,\delta(y_{j}-y_{j'}), \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} V^{\xi}_{a,b,r}(x,y_{j}) \big[ V^{\xi}_{a',b',r'}(x,y_{j'}) \big]^{*} &= DB^{j,j'}_{a,b} \delta_{r,r'} \big[ \delta_{a,a'} \delta_{b,b'} \\ &+ \delta_{a,b'} \delta_{b,a'} \big] \delta(x-x') \, \delta(y_{j}-y_{j'}). \end{split}$$

Here, *D* is the disorder strength and  $B_{a,b}^{j,j'}$  are numbers of order unity which depend on the details of the band structure.

In the following we focus on the physics at energies of the order of the interladder couplings, which we assume lie well below the scale  $\Delta$ , set by the gaps of the massive modes. Under such conditions the latter can be replaced by their nonvanishing expectation values, thus yielding

$$\chi_{\eta,\xi}(a,b,r,\sigma) = r(\phi_c^{(a)} \mp \phi_c^{(b)}) - \sigma(\theta_s^{(a)} - \theta_s^{(b)}) + c_{\eta,\xi}(a,b,r,\sigma),$$
(8)

where  $c_{n,\xi}(a,b,r,\sigma)$  are constants. All the  $\chi_{n,\xi}$  contain fields conjugated to the massive modes, whose correlation functions decay exponentially. As a result, except for the intraband forward-scattering part (whose effects we discuss in Sec. III), the bare disorder potential coupling [Eq. (4)] is irrelevant in the RG sense. However, higher order terms, generated in the course of the RG by integrating over the massive modes, may be relevant.<sup>7,12</sup> To obtain the structure of these terms, which are the ones responsible for the degradation of the pairing correlations on the ladder, we need to consider all possible linear combinations of the  $\chi_{\eta,\xi}$  with integer coefficients. The most relevant coupling is that which, on the one hand, contains the gapless field  $\phi_{c+}$  with the smallest coefficient in front of it and on the other hand contains no gapped fields. Equation (8) indicates that this coupling is generically<sup>13</sup> generated by  $\sum_{b=1}^{n} \chi_{\xi}(b, b, r, \sigma)$  and takes the form  $2\sum_{b=1}^{n} \phi_{c}^{(b)} = 2\sqrt{n} \phi_{c+}$ . Consequently, the scattering by the effective disorder is described by

$$H_{\rm eff-dis} = \int dx \frac{V_{\rm eff}(x,u)}{2\pi\alpha} e^{i\sqrt{2n}\phi_{c+}(x)} + {\rm H.c.}, \qquad (9)$$

where  $\alpha$  is of the order of the correlation length  $v/\Delta$  of the gapped fields, with v being their typical velocity.<sup>14</sup> The leading contribution to the effective disorder satisfies  $V_{\text{eff}}(x, y)V_{\text{eff}}^*(x', y') = D_{\text{eff}}\delta(x-x')\delta(y-y')$ , with

$$D_{\rm eff} \sim D (D/a\lambda \Delta^2)^{n-1} (\Delta/\omega_0)^{(n-1)/2} (\alpha/a)^{n+1}.$$
 (10)

Hence, after averaging over the disorder and the ladder dynamics, as described by Eq. (1), we are led to consider the following action for the C1S0 phase of the *n*-leg ladder

$$S = \frac{1}{\pi} \int dx d\tau \left[ -i\partial_x \theta_{c+} \partial_\tau \phi_{c+} + \frac{v_{c+} K_{c+}}{2} (\partial_x \theta_{c+})^2 + \frac{v_{c+}}{2K_{c+}} (\partial_x \phi_{c+})^2 \right] - D_{\text{eff}} \int \frac{dx d\tau d\tau'}{(2\pi\alpha)^2} F(\tau - \tau') \cos\{\sqrt{2n} [\phi_{c+}(x,\tau) - \phi_{c+}(x,\tau')]\},$$
(11)

where the fluctuation kernel is given by<sup>8</sup>

$$F(\tau - \tau') = \langle \delta[u(\tau) - u(\tau')] \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\lambda}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - e^{-w_0|\tau - \tau'|}}}.$$
(12)

#### **III. RG ANALYSIS**

The RG analysis of this action is most naturally formulated in terms of the following dimensionless parameters:

$$\mathcal{D} = \frac{nD_{\text{eff}}\alpha}{\sqrt{2\pi^3}\lambda v_{c+}^2}, \quad \boldsymbol{\varpi} = \frac{\alpha\omega_0}{v_{c+}},$$
$$K = K_{c+} - \frac{\mathcal{D}}{4} \left(\frac{4}{n^2} + K_{c+}^2\right) \int_0^1 ds \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - e^{-\boldsymbol{\varpi}s}}}.$$
(13)

Note that the parameter *K* is no longer a pure measure of the electronic interactions but is rather an admixture of the interactions and the disorder.<sup>15</sup> However, it is *K* which controls the asymptotic decay of the various correlation functions.<sup>16</sup> The resulting RG flow equations, with respect to the running cutoff  $\alpha(\ell) = \alpha e^{\ell}$ , are

$$\frac{dK}{d\ell} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\sqrt{1 - e^{-\varpi}}} K^2, \quad \frac{d\mathcal{D}}{d\ell} = (3 - nK)\mathcal{D},$$
$$\frac{d\varpi}{d\ell} = \varpi - \frac{4\mathcal{L}\mathcal{D}}{n} \frac{(\cosh \varpi - 1)}{(1 - e^{-\varpi})^{3/2}},$$
$$\frac{d\lambda}{d\ell} = -\frac{2\mathcal{L}\mathcal{D}}{n} \frac{(1 - \cosh \varpi + \varpi \sinh \varpi)}{\varpi (1 - e^{-\varpi})^{3/2}} \lambda, \qquad (14)$$

with the dimensionless ladder length  $\mathcal{L}=L/2\pi\alpha$  scaling as  $d\mathcal{L}/d\ell = -\mathcal{L}$ . The details of their derivation are presented in Ref. 8.

The RG flow [Eq. (14)] implies that infinitesimal disorder is irrelevant for  $K > K^* = 3/n$ , independent of the fluctuations. More important, however, are the effects of fluctuations in the presence of finite disorder. First, since  $\mathcal{D} \propto \lambda^{-n} \omega_0^{-(n-1)/2}$ [see Eqs. (10) and (13)] large amplitude and frequency oscillations tend to decrease the initial strength of the effective disorder. Second, Eq. (14) shows that the disorder is more efficient in renormalizing *K* for smaller oscillation frequencies and that it drives  $\varpi$  toward this regime. Due to both mechanisms, stronger and faster fluctuations cause *K* to flow more slowly to smaller values. Consequently, the ladder's superconducting pairing correlations, which decay as  $x^{-1/nK}$ , are less degraded by the disorder. As demonstrated below, these stronger pairing correlations translate into an increase in  $T_c$  for the Josephson-coupled array.

A few comments are appropriate at this point. First, note that formally the static ladder limit is reached in our model by taking  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\omega_0 \rightarrow \infty$ , in order to keep the ladder in its ground state inside the well. Second, as mentioned in Sec. II, the intraband forward-scattering terms [Eq. (7)] survive in the gapped phase. They are relevant in the RG sense: the dimensionless strengths of the parts which couple to the average band density and to its long-wavelength fluctuations scale as  $d\mathcal{D}_{f,1}/d\ell = 3\mathcal{D}_{f,1}$  and  $d\mathcal{D}_{f,2}/d\ell = \mathcal{D}_{f,2}$ , respectively. These processes can be shown not to affect the pairing correlations in the static limit<sup>16</sup> or more generally when  $\omega_0$  $\rightarrow \infty$ . However, for finite  $\omega_0$  they induce a retarded attraction between the electrons via exchange of the ladder's oscillation quanta. This attraction tends to renormalize K toward higher values and enhance pairing, thus reinforcing the effects outlined above. The intraband forward-scattering processes do contribute to the localization of the ladder since they provide additional channels for its coupling to the disorder [beyond the one contained in Eq. (11)]. Consequently, in the last two flow equations of set (14), one should substitute  $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  $+\mathcal{D}_{f,1}+\mathcal{D}_{f,2}$ . Due to its large scaling dimension  $\mathcal{D}_{f,1}$  is particularly effective in localizing the ladder.

Finally, one should also take into account the renormalization of *K* at energy scales which span the range between  $E_F$  and  $\Delta$ , i.e., during the generation of the gaps which define the C1SO phase. Perturbative RG analysis of the single chain problem<sup>8,16</sup> indicates that *K* renormalizes by an amount of the order of  $D/v_F\lambda\Delta$  during this stage. In the following we assume that  $D \ll v_F\lambda\Delta$  and ignore this "high energy" renormalization. Moreover, such a condition is necessary in order to ensure that disorder does not destroy the gaps. Since the size of the gaps is known to decrease rapidly with the number of legs,<sup>4,5,17</sup> the region of applicability of our treatment shrinks correspondingly with *n*.

#### **IV. COUPLED LADDERS**

Next, we consider an array of coupled C1S0 *n*-leg ladders. The arguments leading to Eq. (9) imply that the most relevant interladder charge-density wave (CDW) coupling,  $\mathcal{V}$ , is the  $2nk_F$  CDW coupling. Its scaling dimension, 2-nK, makes it more relevant than the Josephson tunneling only once K < 1/n. Moreover,  $h_{a,a}^{\eta}$ , which we neglected in this work, is known to suppress  $\mathcal{V}$  exponentially.<sup>16</sup> Interladder  $q \sim 0$  couplings also promote  $\mathcal{J}$  over  $\mathcal{V}$ .<sup>18</sup> Owing to these reasons and our interest in applications to the superconducting cuprates, we assume that the Josephson tunneling between adjacent ladders dominates over their CDW coupling. Hence we analyze the effect of



FIG. 1.  $T_c$  of an array of two-leg ladders as obtained by integrating the RG Eqs. (14) and (17) (solid line) and from the mean-field theory [Eq. (20)] (broken line). The results are for a system with bare K=0.7,  $\mathcal{J}=10^{-2}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}=10^{-4}$ ,  $\lambda/\gamma=10^{-3}$ , and  $\mathcal{L}=10$ .

$$H_J = -\int dx \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \sum_{a,b=1}^n J_{ab}(u_i - u_j) \Delta_a^{i \dagger} \Delta_b^j + \text{H.c.}, \quad (15)$$

with  $\Delta_a^i = \psi_{a,+,\downarrow}^i \psi_{a,-,\uparrow}^i + \psi_{a,+,\uparrow}^j$  as the singlet superconducting order parameter in band *a* of the *i*th ladder. The Josephson tunneling amplitude depends, exponentially, on the interladder separation,  $J_{ab} \sim J_0 e^{-|s+u_i-u_j|/\gamma}$ , where *s* is the mean spacing of the array and  $\gamma$  depends on the environment between the ladders.<sup>19</sup> Assuming  $\lambda \ll s$ , in order to remain in the quasi-one-dimensional limit, we obtain for  $H_J$  in the C1S0 phase,

$$H_{J} = -\int dx \sum_{i} \frac{J e^{(u_{i} - u_{i+1})/\gamma}}{(2\pi\alpha)^{2}} \cos\left[\sqrt{\frac{2}{n}}(\theta_{c+}^{i+1} - \theta_{c+}^{i})\right], \quad (16)$$

where <sup>14</sup>  $J=8\sum_{a,b=1}^{n}J_{ab}(0)\langle\cos(\sqrt{2}\phi_{s}^{(a)})\rangle\langle\cos(\sqrt{2}\phi_{s}^{(b)})\rangle$ . Its contribution to the RG flow, in terms of  $\mathcal{J}=J/2\pi\sqrt{n}v_{c+}$ , and derived assuming<sup>20</sup>  $\mathcal{J},\lambda/\gamma \ll 1$ , is

$$\frac{\partial K}{\partial \ell} = \mathcal{J}^2 \left[ 1 + \frac{\lambda^2}{\gamma^2} (1 + e^{-\varpi}) \right], \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{J}}{\partial \ell} = \left( 2 - \frac{1}{nK} \right) \mathcal{J},$$
$$\frac{\partial \varpi}{\partial \ell} = \varpi - 2n \pi^2 \mathcal{L} \mathcal{J}^2 \frac{\lambda^2}{\gamma^2} [1 + I_0(\varpi)],$$
$$\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \ell} = n \pi^2 \mathcal{L} \mathcal{J}^2 \frac{\lambda^3}{\gamma^2} \frac{1 + I_0(\varpi) - \varpi I_1(\varpi)}{\varpi}, \qquad (17)$$

where  $I_{0,1}(x)$  are modified Bessel functions.

By integrating Eqs. (14) and (17) one can calculate the scale,  $\ell^*$ , at which  $\mathcal{J}$  grows to be of order 1 and obtain an estimate for the transition temperature of the array  $T_c \sim \Delta e^{-\ell^*}$ . Since fluctuations tend to increase *K* via its renormalization by both  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  and since *K* controls the scaling of  $\mathcal{J}$ ,  $T_c$  likewise rises. Another estimate for  $T_c$  can be derived using the interladder mean-field theory.<sup>6,7,21</sup> By replacing  $Je^{(u_i-u_j)/\gamma} \rightarrow \tilde{J}=2J\langle e^{(u_i-u_j)/\gamma}\rangle=2Je^{\lambda^2/2\gamma^2}$  and  $\cos[\sqrt{2/n}(\theta_{c+}^j - \theta_{c+}^j)] \rightarrow \langle \cos(\sqrt{2/n}\theta_{c+})\rangle\cos(\sqrt{2/n}\theta_{c+})$  in  $H_J$ , the problem turns into that of a single ladder described by Eq. (11) and coupled to an effective field,

$$H_J \rightarrow -\int dx \frac{W}{(2\pi\alpha)^2} \cos(\sqrt{2/n}\,\theta_{c+}),$$
 (18)

induced by the neighboring ladders and determined selfconsistently from  $W = \tilde{J} \langle \cos(\sqrt{2/n} \theta_{c+}) \rangle$ . At  $T_c$ , when W is vanishingly small, this condition reads

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{j}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi\alpha)^2} \int dx \int_0^{1/T_c} d\tau P(x,\tau),$$
 (19)

with  $P(x,\tau) = \langle \cos[\sqrt{2/n}\theta_{c+}(x,\tau)]\cos[\sqrt{2/n}\theta_{c+}(0,0)] \rangle$  calculated using action (11). Approximating the effect of temperature by a cutoff length  $l_T = v_{c+}/T$  beyond which all correlators decay exponentially to zero, and using the scaling properties of *P*, Eq. (19) becomes<sup>6,7</sup>

$$\frac{1}{\widetilde{J}} = \int_{\alpha}^{v_{c+}/T_c} \frac{RdR}{4\pi v_{c+}\alpha^2} \exp\left[-\int_{0}^{\ln(R/\alpha)} \frac{d\ell}{nK(\ell)}\right], \quad (20)$$

where  $K(\ell)$  is calculated from Eq. (14).

Results for the ratio of  $T_c$  to the transition temperature of the pure system, in the case n=2, are presented in Fig. 1. The effect of the fluctuations on  $T_c$  is substantial<sup>22</sup> and is particularly important whenever  $\mathcal{D}$  is more relevant than  $\mathcal{J}$ , i.e., for  $K < (1 + \sqrt{5})/2n$ . Figure 1 was generated for fixed bare  $\mathcal{D}$ , ignoring the dependence of the effective disorder on  $\omega_0$ , as given by Eq. (10). Taking this dependence into account will only make the mitigating consequences of the fluctuations more pronounced. Additional amplification of the physics discussed here occurs when shape deformations of the ladders are taken into account.<sup>8</sup>

### V. DISCUSSION

Our study was motivated by the physics of the cuprate high-temperature superconductors, especially the 214 compounds, which exhibit both static and fluctuating charge and spin stripe orders over a substantial portion of their phase diagram.<sup>4,5</sup> In particular, we were interested in gaining insight into the anomalous suppression of  $T_c$  at x=1/8, which is manifested to various degrees in these systems. One should be cautious, however, when trying to apply the model studied here to the physical materials. To begin with, we have considered the quasi-one-dimensional limit of weakly coupled ladders. This limit allows for a controlled theoretical treatment of the problem but is an extreme caricature of the actual charge modulation observed in the cuprates, whose amplitude is difficult to ascertain but which is most likely weak. We then assumed smooth long-wavelength fluctuations and ignored lattice commensurability effects, which are believed to be important in the slowing down of the stripe dynamics at x=1/8. In a related approximation we did not include fluctuations of the stripe order toward a similar order oriented along the perpendicular direction. Recent scanning tunneling spectroscopy<sup>23</sup> demonstrated domains of perpendicular stripe orientations in  $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_{8+\delta}$ . It is conceivable, however, that in the low temperature tetragonal phase of  $La_{2-x}Ba_xCuO_4$ , where the in-plane rotational symmetry is broken and the x=1/8 anomaly is observed, such an approximation is not too severe. Finally, the striped model possesses a large spin gap, which implies the absence of gapless nodal quasiparticles in the superconducting phase (although it exhibits a "d-wave-like" order parameter). The same spin gap means that the model does not contain any of the low-energy incommensurate spin excitations, which are the primary experimental evidence for stripes. These excitations originate from the intervening lightly doped regions between the charged stripes. However, apart from acting as effective barriers for tunneling between the stripes [as characterized by the phenomenological length  $\gamma$  in Eq. (16)], they have little effect on the charge response of the system governing its superconducting susceptibility, which is the focal point of the present study.

Notwithstanding, our model constitutes a controlled theoretical laboratory for the study of the interplay between the stripe dynamics and the disorder. Provided that  $\varpi$  is empirically associated with the distance from x=1/8 in the phase diagram of the lanthanum based cuprates, then Fig. 1 offers a mechanism for the  $T_c$  anomaly at x=1/8. It suggests that the above mentioned interplay plays an important role in alleviating, at least partially, the disorder-induced suppression of superconductivity in these compounds.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

It is a pleasure to thank E. Arrigoni and T. Giamarchi for useful discussions. This work was supported by the United

States–Israel Binational Science Foundation (Grant No. 2004162) and by the Israel Science Foundation (Grant No. 538/08).

- <sup>1</sup>L. Balents and M. P. A. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B 53, 12133 (1996);
   H. J. Schulz, *ibid.* 53, R2959 (1996);
   H.-H. Lin, L. Balents, and M. P. A. Fisher, *ibid.* 56, 6569 (1997);
   S. Baruch and D. Orgad, *ibid.* 71, 184503 (2005).
- <sup>2</sup>R. M. Noack, S. R. White, and D. J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 882 (1994); C. A. Hayward, D. Poilblanc, R. M. Noack, D. J. Scalapino, and W. Hanke, *ibid.* **75**, 926 (1995); S. R. White and D. J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. B **57**, 3031 (1998); S. R. White and D. J. Scalapino, *ibid.* **55**, R14701 (1997) (see also Refs. 4 and 5).
- <sup>3</sup>V. J. Emery, S. A. Kivelson, and O. Zachar, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 15641 (1999).
- <sup>4</sup>E. W. Carlson, V. J. Emery, S. A. Kivelson, and D. Orgad, in *Superconductivity: Novel Superconductors*, edited by K. H. Bennemann and J. B. Ketterson (Springer-Verlag, New York, 2008), Vol. 2, p. 1225.
- <sup>5</sup>S. A. Kivelson, I. P. Bindloss, E. Fradkin, V. Oganesyan, J. M. Tranquada, A. Kapitulnik, and C. Howald, Rev. Mod. Phys. **75**, 1201 (2003).
- <sup>6</sup>Y. Suzumura and T. Giamarchi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **58**, 1748 (1989).
- <sup>7</sup>E. Orignac and T. Giamarchi, Phys. Rev. B **56**, 7167 (1997).
- <sup>8</sup>U. London, T. Giamarchi, and D. Orgad, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 134201 (2006).
- <sup>9</sup>J. M. Tranquada, B. J. Sternlieb, J. D. Axe, Y. Nakamura, and S. Uchida, Nature (London) **375**, 561 (1995); M. Fujita, H. Goka, K. Yamada, and M. Matsuda, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 167008 (2002); M. Fujita, H. Goka, K. Yamada, J. M. Tranquada, and L. P. Regnault, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 104517 (2004).
- <sup>10</sup> J. M. Tranquada, J. D. Axe, N. Ichikawa, A. R. Moodenbaugh, Y. Nakamura, and S. Uchida, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 338 (1997); N. Ichikawa, S. Uchida, J. M. Tranquada, T. Niemöller, P. M. Gehring, S. H. Lee, and J. R. Schneider, *ibid.* **85**, 1738 (2000).
- <sup>11</sup>A. R. Moodenbaugh, Y. Xu, M. Suenaga, T. J. Folkerts, and R. N. Shelton, Phys. Rev. B **38**, 4596 (1988).
- <sup>12</sup>E. Arrigoni, B. Brendel, and W. Hanke, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79,

2297 (1997); E. Arrigoni and S. A. Kivelson, Phys. Rev. B 68, 180503(R) (2003).

- <sup>13</sup>In general it can also be constructed using other combinations. For example, in the two-leg ladder it follows from  $\chi_{\xi}(1,2,r,\sigma) + \chi_{\xi}(1,2,r,-\sigma)$ .
- <sup>14</sup>The prefactors in Eqs. (9) and (16) contain combinations of products of Klein factors. We assume that we work in the subspace of states where their eigenvalue is 1.
- <sup>15</sup>Consequently *K* and  $K_{c+}$  differ in their RG flow. The latter actually converges toward a separatrix at  $K_{c+}=2/n$ . This can be seen by transforming to  $\tilde{\phi}=\sqrt{n/2}\phi_{c+}$  and  $\tilde{\theta}=\sqrt{2/n}\theta_{c+}$ , in terms of which the action describes spinless fermions moving on a single disordered chain, with  $\tilde{K}=(n/2)K_{c+}$ . For  $K_{c+}=2/n$  the fermions are noninteracting and the disorder cannot change this fact (see Refs. 8 and 16). I. V. Gornyi, A. D. Mirlin, and D. G. Polyakov, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 085421 (2007).
- <sup>16</sup>T. Giamarchi and H. J. Schulz, Phys. Rev. B 37, 325 (1988).
- <sup>17</sup>S. Chakravarty, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 4446 (1996).
- <sup>18</sup> V. J. Emery, E. Fradkin, S. A. Kivelson, and T. C. Lubensky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 2160 (2000); A. Vishwanath and D. Carpentier, *ibid.* **86**, 676 (2001).
- <sup>19</sup>S. A. Kivelson, E. Fradkin, and V. J. Emery, Nature (London) **393**, 550 (1998).
- <sup>20</sup>The latter condition allows us to expand the exponential dependence of  $\mathcal{J}$  on  $u_i u_{i+1}$  [see Eq. (15)].
- <sup>21</sup>D. J. Scalapino, Y. Imry, and P. Pincus, Phys. Rev. B **11**, 2042 (1975); E. W. Carlson, D. Orgad, S. A. Kivelson, and V. J. Emery, *ibid.* **62**, 3422 (2000); E. Arrigoni, E. Fradkin, and S. A. Kivelson, *ibid.* **69**, 214519 (2004).
- <sup>22</sup>The fact that  $T_c \simeq T_{c_0}$  for large  $\varpi$  in Fig. 1 is a result of the small bare  $\mathcal{D}/J$  ratio used in the calculation.
- <sup>23</sup>Y. Kohsaka, C. Taylor, K. Fujita, A. Schmidt, C. Lupien, T. Hanaguri, M. Azuma, M. Takano, H. Eisaki, H. Takagi, S. Uchida, and J. C. Davis, Nature (London) **315**, 1380 (2007).